arXiv:1211.2592v1 [math.RA] 12 Nov 2012

INJECTIVE HULLS OF SIMPLE MODULES OVER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR RINGS

PAULA A.A.B. CARVALHO, CAN HATIPOĞLU AND CHRISTIAN LOMP

Abstract. We study injective hulls of simple modules over differential operator rings, providing sufficient conditions under which these modules are not locally Artinian. As a consequence we characterize Ore extensions $S = K[x][y; \sigma, d]$ such that injective hulls of simple S-modules are locally Artinian.

1. Introduction

In 1960, E.Matlis showed that any injective hull of a simple module is Artinian (see [13] and [14, Proposition 3]). In connection with the Jacobson Conjecture for Noetherian rings, i.e. whether $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J^n = 0$ for the Jacobson radical J of a Noetherian ring S, Jategaonkar showed in [11] (see also [5], [18]) that the injective hulls of simple modules are locally Artinian provided the ring S is fully bounded Noetherian (FBN). This allowed him to answer the Jacobson Conjecture in the affirmative for FBN rings. Recall that a module is called *locally Artinian* if every finitely generated submodule of it is Artinian. After Jategaonkar's result the question arose whether the condition

$$(\diamond) \quad \text{Injective hulls of simple right } A\text{-modules are locally Artinian}$$

was sufficient to prove an affirmative answer of the Jacobson Conjecture which quickly turned out to be not the case. However property $(\diamond)$ remained a subtle condition for Noetherian rings whose meaning is not yet fully understood. Property $(\diamond)$ says that all finitely generated essential extensions of simple right A-modules are Artinian and in case A is right Noetherian property $(\diamond)$ is equivalent to the condition that the class of semi-Artinian right A-modules, *i.e.* modules M that are the union of their socle series, is closed under essential extensions.

For algebras related to $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ the condition has been examined in [6],[3], [4], [16]. One of the first examples of a Noetherian domain that does not satisfy $(\diamond)$ had been found by Ian Musson in [15] concluding that whenever $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebra, then $U(\mathfrak{g})$ does not satisfy property $(\diamond)$. The class of finite dimensional solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ for which $U(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies our desired property had been recently determined in [9]. The main result of this paper is a complete and explicit answer to the question, when $S = K[x][y; d]$ satisfies property $(\diamond)$, for K a field of characteristic zero, by showing that there always exists a non-Artinian finitely generated essential extension of a simple S-module if and only if d is not locally nilpotent or equivalently if and only if S is neither isomorphic to the polynomial ring $K[x, y]$ nor to the first Weyl algebra $A_1(K)$. This extends a recent result by I.Musson for the derivations $d(x) = x^r$ (see [16]). Applying a result of P.Carvalho and I.Musson [4] and results of Alev and Dumas, we can also characterise those Ore extension of $K[x]$ which satisfy $(\diamond)$.

Let R be a ring, d a derivation of R and denote by $S = R[y; d]$ the differential operator ring of R with respect to d, i.e. S is an overring of R, free as left R-module with basis $\{y^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ subject to the relation $ya = ay + d(a)$, for all $a \in R$. Moreover S is also free as right R-module with basis $\{y^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ and the following identities hold (see [8]):

$$y^n a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} d^{n-i}(a) y^i \qquad \text{and} \qquad a y^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} (-1)^i y^{n-i} d^i(a) \qquad \forall a \in R, n \geq 0.$$

Let $R = K[x]$ with $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$. The first Weyl algebra $K[x][y; \frac{\partial}{\partial x}]$ is a Noetherian domain of Krull dimension 1 and satisfies property $(\diamond)$ (see [3]). I.Musson's first example of a Noetherian domain not satisfying ($\diamond$) was the ring $K[x][y;x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}]$ (see [15]). In [16] he extended his construction of a cyclic non-Artinian essential extension of a simple module to all rings $K[x][y;x^r\frac{\partial}{\partial x}]$ with $r \geq 1$ and gave also sufficient conditions to construct non-artinian essential extensions of simple module over Noetherian rings. However we were not able of applying his construction to $K[x][y;p\frac{\partial}{\partial x}]$ where p is any non-constant polynomial. In this paper we use a different method to show that $K[x][y;p\frac{\partial}{\partial x}]$ does not satisfy property ($\diamond$) for any non-constant polynomial p which yields a complete characterisation of Ore extensions $K[x][y;\alpha,d]$ of $K[x]$ with property ($\diamond$).

2. General results on differential operator rings over commutative domains

Let R be a commutative K-algebra with $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$ and $S = R[y;d]$ for some derivation d of R. A subset I of R is called d-stable, if $d(I) \subseteq I$. An ideal of R that is d-stable is called a d-ideal. In order to show that S does not satisfy ($\diamond$) we need to construct a simple left S-module E and a cyclic essential extension M of E with M/E being non-Artinian. A suitable construction of a simple left R-module is given by the following Proposition which also follows from a result by Goodearl and Warfield (see [7, Proposition 3.1]). However for the convenience of the reader, we will include a proof of this fact here.

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be a commutative K-algebra with $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$ and let $S = R[y;d]$ for some derivation d of R. If $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of R that is not d-stable, then $S\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal left ideal of S.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is not d-stable, there exists a non-zero element $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $d(a) \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Write $v = 1 + S\mathfrak{m}$ for the canonical generator of $E = S/S\mathfrak{m}$. By induction on n it is not hard to prove that the following statement holds for any $n > 0$

$$(a^ny^n)v = c_nv \qquad \text{where} \qquad c_n = (n!)(-d(a))^n \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}.$$

Let $f \in Sv$ be a non-zero element and suppose that f is represented as $f = \sum_{i=0}^n b_iy^iv$, with n being minimal. Then $b_n \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$. Since $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a field and $b_n, c_n \notin \mathfrak{m}$, there exists $u \in R$ such that $ub_nc_n - 1 \in S\mathfrak{m}$. Hence $ua^nf = ub_nc_nv = v$ shows that E is a simple left S-module. □

Given a non d-stable, maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R we intend to build an essential extension of $S/S\mathfrak{m}$ which is not Artinian. For this the next elementary Lemma is needed.

Lemma 2.2. *$S = R \oplus S(y-1)$ as K-vector spaces.*

Proof. Since $\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^i\right)(y-1) = y^n - 1$ holds for all $n > 0$, we have for all $a \in R$:

$$ay^n = a + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ay^i\right)(y-1) \in R + S(y-1).$$

Moreover if $a = \sum_{i=0}^n b_iy^i(y-1) \in R \cap S(y-1)$ with $b_i \in R$, then bearing in mind that the powers of y form a basis of S as left R-module and comparing coeficients, we see that $b_i = 0$ for all i, i.e. $a = 0$. □

Call the ring R left d-simple if its only d-ideals are 0 and R.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian domain and $S = R[y;d]$ for some derivation d of R. Then $S/S(y-1)$ is Artinian as left S-module if and only if R is d-simple.*

Proof. Let $\pi : S \to S/S(y-1)$ be the canonical projection. There exists a lattice isomorphism between the d-ideals I of R and the left S-submodules of $S/S(y-1)$ given as follows: for any d-ideal I of R, $\pi(I)$ is a left S-submodule, because for any $a \in I$:

$$y\pi(a) = \pi(ay + d(a)) = \pi(a + d(a)) \in \pi(I).$$

Moreover if U is a left S-submodule of $S/S(y-1)$, then $I = \pi^{-1}(U) \cap R$ is a d-ideal of R since for any $a \in I$ we have

$$\pi(d(a)) = \pi(ya - ay) = \pi((y-1)a) \in U,$$

i.e. $d(a) \in I$. Because of Lemma 2.2 it is clear that $U = \pi(I)$.

Suppose that $S/S(y-1)$ is Artinian as left S-module. By the lattice theoretical correspondence R satisfies the descending chain condition for d-ideals. Hence given a proper d-ideal I of R, the chain $I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq \cdots$ must stop and there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $I^k = I^{k+1}$. Therefore $I^k = (I^k)^2$ and I^k is an idempotent ideal. Since any idempotent ideal of a commutative Noetherian domain is trivial, $I^k = 0$ or $I^k = R$. As R is a domain, $I = 0$ or $I = R$. The converse is clear, since by the lattice theoretical isomorphism $S/S(y-1)$ is a simple left S-module if R is d-simple. □

Propositions 2.1 and 2.3 show that if R is a commutative Noetherian domain that is not d-simple and has a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ that is not d-stable, then $S/S\mathfrak{m}(y-1)$ is a cyclic left S-module which is an extension of the simple left S-module $S/S\mathfrak{m} \simeq S(y-1)/S\mathfrak{m}(y-1)$. The last Proposition of this section gives a sufficient condition to assure the essentiality of this extension. An element $a \in R$ is called an *Darboux element with respect to* d if $d(a) = ba$ for some $b \in R$. If the context is clear we simply refer to a as an Darboux element. In other words a is Darboux if and only if Ra is a d-ideal of R. If R is commutative, then $a \in R$ is a Darboux element if and only if a is a normal element in S. In fact if a is Darboux, then $ya = ay + d(a) = a(y+b)$. Hence $y^n a = a(y+b)^n$ and also $ay^n = (y-b)^n a$, showing $Sa = aS$. On the other hand if a is normal in S, then $ay \in Sa$. Thus there exists $g \in S$ such that $ga = ay = ya - d(a)$. Looking at the zero component of both sides and taking into account that S is free as left R-module, there exists $b \in R$ such that $d(a) = ba$. Recall that a normal element a in a domain S induces an automorphism σ of S defined by $ra = a\sigma(r)$, for all $r \in S$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian domain which is also an algebra over a field K of characteristic zero. Let d be a derivation of R and set $S = R[y; d]$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

1. *R is not d-simple;*
2. *there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R that does not contain any non-zero d-ideal.*
3. *every non-zero d-ideal contains a non-zero Darboux element;*

Then $S/S\mathfrak{m}(y-1)$ is a non-Artinian essential extension of the simple left S-module $S/S\mathfrak{m}$, i.e. S does not satisfy property $(\diamond)$.

Proof. For simplicity, set $L = S\mathfrak{m}(y-1)$ and $M = S/L$. By Proposition 2.1

$$E := S(y-1)/L \simeq S/S\mathfrak{m} \tag{1}$$

is a simple left S-module since $\mathfrak{m}$ is not d-stable. By Proposition 2.3 the left S-module $M/E = S/S(y-1)$ is not Artinian, since S is not d-simple. We need to show that M is an essential extension of E. Write $\pi : S \to S/L$ for the canonical projection. Let U be a non-zero left S-submodule of M and suppose that $U \neq E$. Recall that $S = R \oplus S(y-1)$ by Lemma 2.2 and set

$$I = \{a \in R \mid \exists g \in S : \pi(a + g(y-1)) \in U\}. \tag{2}$$

Since $(y-1)a = a(y-1) - d(a)$ for any $a \in R$, we see that I is an d-ideal of R. I is non-zero as $U \neq E$. By hypothesis I contains a non-zero Darboux element $f \in I$. Let $q \in R$ such that $d(f) = qf$ and let $g \in S$ be such that $\pi(f + g(y-1)) \in U$. In particular $(y-q)f = fy + d(f) - qf = fy$. Then

$$(y-1-q)\,\pi\,(\ f + g(y-1)\ ) = \pi\,(\ (f + (y-1-q)g)(y-1)\ ) \in E \cap U \tag{3}$$

We will show that $\pi\,(\ (f + (y-1-q)g)(y-1)\ ) \neq 0$, in order to conclude that E is essential in M. Thus suppose

$$(f + (y-1-q)g)(y-1) \in L = S\mathfrak{m}(y-1). \tag{4}$$

As S is a domain,

$$f + (y-1-q)g \in S\mathfrak{m}. \tag{5}$$

Note that f is a normal element in S and as S is a domain, there exists an automorphism σ of S with $fr = \sigma(r)f$ for all $r \in R$. Since $fy = (y-q)f$ we have $\sigma(y) = y - q$.

Let $R = \mathfrak{m} \oplus V$ be a vector space decomposition of R with $1 \in V$ and denote by a_V the V-component of an element $a \in R$. Since S is a free right R-module with basis $\{y^i \mid i \geq 0\}$, we have the vector space decomposition $S = S\mathfrak{m} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty y^i V$. Hence there exist $b \in S\mathfrak{m}$ and $v_0, \ldots, v_m \in V$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(g) = b + \sum_{i=0}^m y^i v_i$. Since $\sigma(a) = a$ for any $a \in R$ and taking into account that $\sigma(b) \in \sigma(S\mathfrak{m}) = S\mathfrak{m}$, we have

$$f_V + \sigma(y-1)g - \sigma((y-1)b) = \sigma(f_V + (y-1)(\sigma^{-1}(g) - b)) = \sigma\left(f_V + (y-1)\sum_{i=0}^m y^i v_i\right) \tag{6}$$

Hence the left hand side belongs to $S\mathfrak{m} = \sigma(S\mathfrak{m})$ while the right hand side belongs to $\sigma\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty y^i V\right)$. As

$$\sigma(S\mathfrak{m}) \cap \sigma\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty y^i V\right) = \sigma\left(S\mathfrak{m} \cap \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty y^i V\right) = 0 \tag{7}$$

and as σ is an automorphism we have

$$f_V + (y-1)\sum_{i=0}^m y^i v_i = y^{m+1} v_m + \sum_{i=1}^m y^i (v_{i-1} - v_i) + f_V - v_0 = 0 \tag{8}$$

Hence $v_i = 0$ for all i as well as $f_V = 0$, which implies that $f \in \mathfrak{m}$, which induces a non-trivial d-ideal in $\mathfrak{m}$, contradicting the hypothesis. □

Following [7] rings R which satisfy condition (ii) are called d-primitive.

3. Ore extensions of $K[x]$

We apply the general results of the last section to $R = K[x]$. Any derivation d of R is completely determined by $d(x) = p \in R$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let* $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$, $p \in K[x]$, $d = p\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ *and* $S = K[x][y; d]$. *The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *S does satisfy property* $(\diamond)$.
(b) *p is a constant polynomial.*
(c) $S \simeq K[x, y]$ *or* $S \simeq A_1(K)$.
(d) *S is commutative or has Krull dimension* 1.

Proof. Let $R = K[x]$. First note, that any non-zero d-ideal I of R contains a Darboux element, because as R is principal ideal domain, $I = \langle f \rangle$ for some non-zero $f \in R$. Since I is d-stable, $d(f) = qf$ for some $q \in R$, i.e. f is a non-zero Darboux element. Let $\alpha \in K$ be any element that is not a root of p (which exists as K is infinite). Then $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x - \alpha \rangle$ is a maximal ideal which does not contain a non-zero Darboux element, because if such element belonged to $\mathfrak{m}$, say $f \in \mathfrak{m}$, then there existed $h \in R$ and $n > 0$ such that $f = h(x-\alpha)^n$ and $(x - \alpha) \nmid h$. Suppose that $d(f) = gf$ for some $g \in R$, then

$$gh(x-\alpha)^n = gf = d(f) = d(h)(x-\alpha)^n + nh(x-\alpha)^{n-1} = (d(h)(x-\alpha) - nh)(x-\alpha)^{n-1}$$

which implied $(gh - d(h))(x - \alpha) = -nh$, i.e. $(x - \alpha) \mid h$ a contradiction.

If p is not constant, then $I = Rp$ is a non-zero d-ideal, i.e. R is not d-simple. By Proposition 2.4 S does not satisfy property $(\diamond)$. If p is constant, then $S \simeq K[x, y]$ if $p = 0$ and $S \simeq A_1(K)$ if $p \neq 0$. This shows $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c)$. It is clear that $(c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (a)$. □

M. Awami, M. Van den Bergh, F. Van Oystaeyen in [2, 2.1] and J. Alev and A. Dumas in [1, Proposition 3.2] proved that given any automorphism σ of $K[x]$ and δ an σ-derivation, the Ore extension $S = K[x][y; \sigma, \delta]$ is isomorphic to one of the following algebras: $K[x, y]$, a quantum plane, a quantum Weyl algebra or to a differential operator ring. Since any automorphism of $K[x]$ is such that $\sigma(x) = qx + b$ for some $q, b \in K$, following the proof of [2] and [1], we have:

- If $q \neq 1$, then $S \simeq K[x'][y;\sigma',\delta]$ where $x' = x + b(q-1)^{-1}$ and $\sigma'(x') = qx'$. Now if $p(x) \in K[x]$ and $r \in K$ are such that $\delta(x') = p(x')(1-q)x' + r$ then
$$(y + p(x'))x' = qx'(y + p(x')) + r.$$
If $r = 0$, it is easy to see that $S \simeq K_q[x', y'] = K\langle x', y' | y'x' = qx'y'\rangle$ for a suitable change of variables.
If $r \neq 0$, taking $y'' = r^{-1}(y + p(x))$, $S \simeq A_1^q(K) = K\langle x', y'' | y''x' = qx'y'' + 1\rangle$.
- If $q = 1$ and $b = 0$, S is either $K[x, y]$ or a diferential operator ring, $S = K[x][y;\delta]$.
- If $q = 1$ and $b \neq 0$, $S \simeq R[x'][y;\sigma',\delta]$ by making $x' = b^{-1}x$, $\sigma'(x') = x' + 1$ and $\delta'(x') = b^{-1}\delta(x)$. Since
$$(y + \delta(x))x' = (x' + 1)(y + \delta(x))$$
it follows that $S \simeq K[y'][x'; -y'\frac{\partial}{\partial y'}]$.

Recall that a derivation d of a ring R is called locally nilpotent if for any $f \in R$ there exist $n \geq 0$ such that $d^n(f) = 0$ Using this characterisation we can determine precisely when $K[x][y;\sigma,d]$ satisfies $(\diamond)$:

Theorem 3.2. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let σ be an automorphism of $K[x]$ and d be a σ-derivation of $K[x]$. Let $q, b \in K$ such that $\sigma(x) = qx + b$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *$S = K[x][y;\sigma,d]$ satisfies property $(\diamond)$;*
(b) *$S \simeq K_q[x, y]$ or $S \simeq A_1^q(K)$ for q a root of unity (including $q = 1$);*
(c) *$q \neq 1$ is a root of unity or $q = 1$ and $d(x)$ is a constant polynomial;*
(d) *$\sigma \neq id$ has finite order or $\sigma = id$ and d is locally nilpotent.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.1 any algebra of the form $K[x][y;d]$ satisfying $(\diamond)$ has to be isomorphic to the first Weyl algebra or to the polynomial ring, i.e. to $A_1^q(K)$ or to $K_q[x, y]$ for $q = 1$. If q is not a root of unity, then [4, Theorem 3.1] respectively [4, Theorem 4.2] shows that $K_q[x, y]$ respectively $A_1^q(K)$ does not satisfy $(\diamond)$. On the other hand if $q \neq 1$ is a root of unity, then $K_q[x, y]$ and $A_1^q(K)$ are PI-algebras and hence in particular FBN which satisfy $(\diamond)$ by Jategaonkar's result (see [11]). The case $q = 1$ is obtained from the fact that the first Weyl algebra is a prime Noetherian algebra of Krull dimension 1. Together with the characterisation above, this shows $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c)$.

$(c) \Leftrightarrow (d)$ Note that for all $n > 1$ we have $\sigma^n(x) = q^n x + \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} b$ if $q \neq 1$. Suppose $\sigma \neq id$, then σ has order n if and only if q is an nth root of unity. □

4. Differential operator rings over commutative Noetherian domains

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, let $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over K and let $d = p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \ldots + p_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ be a non-zero derivation of R for some polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in R$. Set $S = R[y;d]$. Following Moullin-Ollagniera and Nowickic (see [17]) we call d irreducible if the ideal $I = \langle p_1, \ldots, p_n\rangle$ generated by $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ is improper. Suppose that d is not irreducible, then there exists a point $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in K^n$ that does not belong to the algebraic variety $\mathcal{V}(I)$ defined by I. Thus we have that the ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x_1 - \alpha_1, \ldots, x_n - \alpha_n\rangle$ of R generated by $x_1 - \alpha_1, \ldots, x_n - \alpha_n$ is a maximal ideal of R that is not d-stable, because otherwise $d(x_i - \alpha_i) = p_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all i, which implies $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ and hence $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(I)$ contradicting the choice of α. Thus $S/S\mathfrak{m}$ is a simple left S-module by Proposition 2.1 and $S/S(y-1)$ is not Artinian by Proposition 2.3. If $\mathfrak{m}$ does not contain any non-zero d-ideal and every non-zero d-ideals of R contain non-zero Darboux elements, then we could conclude by Proposition 2.4 that $S = R[y;d]$ does not satisfy $(\diamond)$. However neither do we know of good criteria to secure the existence of Darboux elements in d-ideals of $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ nor whether $\mathfrak{m}$ could contain non-zero Darboux elements.

A stronger assumption than assuming the existence of Darboux elements in non-zero d-ideals, is to assume that any non-zero d-ideal I of R intersects non-trivially the subring of constants $R^d = \{a \in R \mid d(a) = 0\}$. This is the case for a locally nilpotent derivation d. We saw in Theorem 3.2 that an Ore extension of $K[x]$ by some automorphism σ and σ-derivation d satisfies $(\diamond)$ if and only if $\sigma \neq id$ has finite order or $\sigma = id$ and d is locally nilpotent. If R is a Noetherian commutative domain, d is a skew-σ-derivation and σ is of finite order, then $R[y;\sigma,d]$ is a PI-algebra by [12, Theorem 4], hence satisfies property $(\diamond)$. We conclude the paper with a general statement showing that for the case $\sigma = id$ a differential operator ring with a locally nilpotent derivation d over a commutative finitely generated algebra R also satisfy $(\diamond)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let R be a commutative finitely generated K-algebra with locally nilpotent derivation d. Then all injective hulls of simple $R[y;d]$-modules are locally Artinian. If moreover R is a Noetherian domain, then either $R[y;d]$ is a simple ring or any maximal ideal of R intersects R^d non-trivially.*

Proof. Let $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ be the algebra generators of R and consider the set

$$V = \left\{ d^i(x_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n, i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Since d is locally nilpotent, V is a finite set containing all generators $x_1,\ldots,x_n$. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{span}(V)$ be the (finite dimensional) subspace of R generated by V. Consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus Ky$, which is a subspace of $S = R[y;d]$. Since $[d^i(x_j), y] = d^{i+1}(x_j) \in \mathfrak{h}$, the space $\mathfrak{g}$ is closed under the commutator bracket $[,]$ in S and hence is a Lie subalgebra of $(S,[,])$. Since d is locally nilpotent, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a (finite dimensional) nilpotent Lie algebra over K with the Abelian ideal $\mathfrak{h}$ of codimension 1. By [9, Theorem 1.1] $U(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies property $(\diamond)$. The Lie algebra inclusion $\mathfrak{g} \to R[y;d]$ induces an algebra map $U(\mathfrak{g}) \to R[y;d]$ which is surjective, since $\mathfrak{g}$ contains y and all algebra generators of R. Thus $R[y;d]$ also satisfy $(\diamond)$.

Suppose R is a Noetherian domain and $R[y;d]$ is not simple. Then in particular R is not d-simple. As mentioned before, every non-zero d-ideal of R intersects R^d non-trivially. Hence if there existed a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R that intersected R^d trivially, then $\mathfrak{m}$ could not contain a non-zero d-ideal. Thus by Proposition 2.4 $R[y;d]$ would not satisfy $(\diamond)$ which is a contradiction to what we just proved. Hence any maximal ideal of R must intersect R^d non-trivially. □

For an arbitray non-commutative finitely generated Noetherian domain R whose injective hulls of simple (left) R-modules are locally Artinian, the Proposition above might not hold. As an example take $R = A_1(\mathbb{C})[x]$, which satisfies property $(\diamond)$, because any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of the centre of R is of the form $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x - \lambda \rangle$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$; the quotient ring $R/\mathfrak{m} \simeq A_1(\mathbb{C})$ does satisfy property $(\diamond)$ and thus by [3, Proposition 1.6], R satisfies property $(\diamond)$. On the other hand $S = R[y; \frac{\partial}{\partial x}] \simeq A_2(\mathbb{C})$ does not satisfy $(\diamond)$ by Stafford's result in [19], although $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is a locally nilpotent derivation of R.

5. Acknowledgment

This research was funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the programme COMPETE and by the Portuguese Government through the FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia under the project PEst-C/MAT/UI0144/2011. The second author was supported by the grant SFRH/BD/33696/2009.

References

[1] Alev, J. and Dumas, F., *Invariants du corps de Weyl sous l'action de groupes finis*, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), no. 5, 1655–1672.

[2] Awami, M.; Van den Bergh, M. and Van Oystaeyen, F. *Note on derivations of graded rings and classification of differential polynomial rings.* Deuxième Contact Franco-Belge en Algèbre (Faulx-les-Tombes, 1987). Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A 40 (1988), no. 2, 175–183.

[3] Carvalho, Paula A. A. B.; Lomp, Christian; Pusat-Yilmaz, Dilek, *Injective modules over down-up algebras*, Glasg. Math. J. 52 (2010), no. A, 53-59, MR 16D50 (16S99).

[4] Carvalho, Paula A. A. B.; Musson, Ian M., *Monolithic modules over Noetherian rings*, Glasg. Math. J. 53 (2011), no. 3, 683-692, MR 16D50.

[5] Cauchon, G., *Anneaux de polynômes essentiellement bornés*, (French) Ring theory (Proc. Antwerp Conf. (NATO Adv. Study Inst.), Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1978), pp. 27-42, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 51, Dekker, New York, 1979, MR 16A46 (16A05).

[6] Dahlberg, Randall P., *Injective hulls of simple $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ modules are locally Artinian*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1989), no. 1, 35-37, MR 17B35.

[7] Goodearl, Kenneth R. and Warfield, Jr., Robert B., *Primitivity in differential operator rings*, Math. Z. 180 (1982) no. 4, 503–523

[8] Goodearl, Kenneth R. and Warfield, Jr., Robert B., *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*, LMS Student Texts 61, Cambridge University Press (2004)

[9] Hatipoğlu, Can and Lomp, Christian, *Injective hulls of simple modules over finite dimensional nilpotent complex Lie superalgebras*, J. Algebra 361 (2012), 79–91, MR 17B30 (17B10)

[10] Hirano, Y., *On injective hulls of simple modules*, J. Algebra 225 (2000), no. 1, 299-308, MR 16D50 (16D70).

[11] Jategaonkar, Arun Vinayak, *Jacobson's conjecture and modules over fully bounded Noetherian rings*, J. Algebra 30 (1974), 103-121, MR 16A46.

[12] Leroy, André and Matczuk, Jerzy, *On q-skew iterated Ore extensions satisfying a polynomial identity*, J. Algebra Appl. 10(4) (2011), 771–781, MR 16U20 (16R10 16S36)

[13] Matlis, Eben, *Injective modules over Noetherian rings*, Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958), 511-528, MR 18.00.

[14] Matlis, Eben, *Modules with descending chain condition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1960), 495-508, MR 13.40 (16.00).

[15] Musson, I. M, *Some examples of modules over Noetherian rings*, Glasgow Math. J. 23 (1982), no. 1, 9-13, MR 16A33.

[16] Musson, I. M., *Finitely generated, non-artinian monolithic modules* in *New Trends in Noncommutative Algebra* (Seattle, WA, 2010), 211-220, Contemp. Math., 562, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012

[17] Moulin Ollagniera,J. and Nowickic, A., *Derivations of polynomial algebras without Darboux polynomials*, J. Pure Applied Alg. 212 (2008) no. 7, 1626–1631

[18] Schelter, W., *Essential extensions and intersection theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1975), no. 2, 328-330, MR 16A46 .

[19] Stafford, J. T., *Nonholonomic modules over Weyl algebras and enveloping algebras*, Invent. Math. 79 (1985), no. 3, 619-638, MR 17B35 (16A33).

[20] Wisbauer, Robert, *Foundations of module and ring theory: a handbook for study and research*, Revised and translated from the 1988 German edition, Algebra, Logic and Applications, 3, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, PA, 1991. xii+606 pp. ISBN: 2-88124-805-5, MR 16-01.

Paula A.A.B. Carvalho, Can Hatipoğlu and Christian Lomp
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
University of Porto
Rua Campo Alegre 687
4169-007 Porto, Portugal